

Consultation submission form Building Code update 2021 Building Code operating protocols



Contents

Contents

Contents
How to submit this form
Submitter information
Proposal 1. Energy efficiency for housing and small buildings
Proposal 2. Energy efficiency for large buildings
Proposal 3. Energy efficiency for heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems in commercial buildings
Proposal 4. Natural light for higher-density housing
Proposal 5. Weathertightness testing for higher-density housing
Proposal 6. Standards referenced in B1 Structure
Proposal 7. Editorial changes to Acceptable Solution B1/AS1
Building Code Operating protocols
New look for Building Code documents
Гhank you 13

How to submit this form

How to submit this form

This form is used to provide feedback on proposals found within the consultation documents:

- > Building Code update 2021 Issuing and amending acceptable solutions and verification methods
- > Building Code operating protocols Referencing standards and a tier framework to support standards in the Building Code system

When completing this submission form, please provide comments and reasons explaining your choices. Your feedback provides valuable information and informs decisions about the proposals.

You can submit this form by 5pm, Friday 28 May 2021 by:

- > email: buildingfeedback@mbie.govt.nz, with subject line Building Code consultation 2021
- post to: Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment, 15 Stout Street, Wellington 6011
 or: Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment, PO Box 1473, Wellington 6140

Your feedback will contribute to further development of the Building Code. It will also become official information, which means it may be requested under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA).

The OIA specifies that information is to be made available upon request unless there are sufficient grounds for withholding it. If we receive a request, we cannot guarantee that feedback you provide us will not be made public. Any decision to withhold information requested under the OIA is reviewable by the Ombudsman.

About you

A.

Submitter information

MBIE would appreciate if you would provide some information about yourself. If you choose to provide information in the "About you" section below it will be used to help MBIE understand the impact of our proposals on different occupational groups. Any information you provide will be stored securely.

Na	ame:	Helen Topham	
Er	mail address:	Helen.topham@huttvalleydl	hb.org.nz
В.	Are you happ	by for MBIE to contact yo	ou if we have questions about your submission?
⊠ Ye	es		□ No
c.	Are you mak	ing this submission on be	ehalf of a business or organisation??
⊠ Ye	es		□ No
If yes	If yes, please tell us the title of your company/organisation.		
Re	Regional Public Health		
D.	The best way	to describe your role is:	
□А	rchitect		☐ Engineer (please specify below)
□ в	CA/Building Conse	ent Officer	☐ Residential building owner
□ В	uilder or tradespe	rson (please specify below)	\square Commercial building owner
\square Building product manufacturer or supplier \boxtimes Other (please specify below) (please specify the type of product below)		☑ Other (please specify below)	
\Box Designer (please specify below) \Box Prefe		ecify below)	☐ Prefer not to say
Please specify here.			
Se	enior Public Health	n Advisor	

Proposal 1: Energy efficiency for housing and small buildings

Proposal 1. Energy efficiency for housing and small buildings

To make buildings warmer, drier, healthier and more energy efficient, we are considering options to increase the minimum insulation levels for roof, windows, walls and floors for new housing and small buildings. The options for minimum insulation levels vary across the country so that homes in the coldest parts of New Zealand will need more insulation than those in the warmest parts. As part of this, we are proposing to issue new editions of Acceptable Solution H1/AS1 and Verification Method H1/VM1 for housing and small buildings.

Questions for the consultation

1-1. Which option do □ Status quo	you prefer? (Please	e select one)	
\square Option 1. Halfway to ir	nternational standards	S	
□ Option 2. Comparable	to international stand	dards	
oxtimes Option 3. Going furthe	r than international st	tandards	
Is there anything you wou	ıld like to tell us abou	t the reason(s) for your choice?	
should be the minimum	n approach and would	t health gains and energy savings d also support option 3 if feasible. petter reflect New Zealand's clima	RPH also strongly support
1-2. For your preferre (Please select one)	ed option, how qui	ickly should this change com	ne into effect?
■ 12 months	☐ 24 months	\square 36 months or more	\square Not sure/No preference
Is there anything you wou	ıld like to tell us abou	t the reason(s) for your choice?	
There are significant he	ealth as well as energy	y saving gains from the proposed	changes.

Proposal 1: Energy efficiency for housing and small buildings

1-3. If there are factors we should consider to progressively phase in your preferred option, please tell us below. These factors may include material availability or affordability, regional differences in the requirements,			
di	fferent building typologies or other consid	derations.	
1	-4. Do you support issuing the new	editions of H1/AS1 and H1/VM	11 as proposed?
Н	1/AS1: ⊠ Yes, I support it	☐ No, I don't support it	☐ Not sure/no preference
Н	1/VM1: ⊠ Yes, I support it	☐ No, I don't support it	\square Not sure/no preference
ls	there anything you would like to tell us at	bout the reason(s) for your choice?	
	No comment		
	-5. What impacts would you expect nese impacts may be economic/financial, o	•	
	We expect the changes to result in improliving in private rentals which will eventure insulation in these homes, will reduce the result in warmer dryer homes, reducing however, it should be noted that the polarental market and so inequities in the level those in the private rental market and nearly higher standards of insulation in privately	ally need to be insulated to the new e cost of heating for householders, renousing related illnesses e.g. respirative will not impact existing buildings yels of housing insulation between love builds could increase. Actions to see	standards. Improved educing fuel poverty, and will ory conditions. outside of the residential w income home owners, upport homeowners to meet

Proposal 1: Energy efficiency for housing and small buildings

1-6. Is there any support that you or your business would need to implement the proposed changes if introduced?		
☐ Yes	□ No	\square Not sure/no preference
Is there anything you would like to tell	us about the reason(s) for y	our choice?
No comment		

Proposal 2: Energy efficiency for large buildings

No comment

Proposal 3: Energy efficiency for HVAC systems in commercial buildings

NO COMMENT

Questions

Proposal 4: Natural light for higher-density housing

Proposal 4. Natural light for higher-density housing

We are proposing to issue new acceptable solutions and verification methods for G7 Natural Light to adopt new compliance pathways for higher-density housing. The new pathways are more suitable for these types of buildings. As a consequence of the change, the scope of the existing documents are proposed to be limited.

	•		
4-1. Do you support issuing the new G7/AS1, G7/AS2, G7/VM2 as proposed?			
G	7/AS1: ⊠ Yes, I support it	☐ No, I don't support it	\square Not sure/no preference
G	G7/AS2: \boxtimes Yes, I support it \square No, I don't support it \square Not sure/no preference		
G7/VM2: ⊠ Yes, I support it ☐ No, I don't support it ☐ Not sure/no preference			\square Not sure/no preference
Is there anything you would like to tell us about the reason(s) for your choice?			
	Current building code standards do not provide sufficient access to sunlight for all building types. With an increase in residential intensification the issue of sunlight access becomes more important. Accessing safe levels of sunlight is very important for both physical and mental health, and also for people's ability to heat		
	their homes sustainably (e.g. through pa		• • •

homes which in turn has physical and mental health benefits.

4-2. What approach do you think we should take for G7/VM1? ⋈ It should be revoked ☐ It should remain as is ☐ It should be amended ☐ Not sure/no preference Is there anything you would like to tell us about the reason(s) for your choice?

potential expenditure on power (reducing fuel poverty) and helping to create dryer, warmer, healthier

Proposal 4: Natural light for higher-density housing

G7/AS1, G7/AS2, G7/VM1, and G7/VM2? These impacts may be economic/financial, environmental, health and wellbeing, or other areas.			
	y residential development will positively contribute to able residents to more effectively heat their homes		
4-4. Do you agree with the proposed transition time of 12 months for the new G7/AS1, G7/AS2, G7/VM1, and G7/VM2 to take effect?			
☑ Yes, it is about right	\square No, it should be shorter (less than 12 months)		
\square No, it should be longer (24 months or more)	\square Not sure/no preference		
Is there anything you would like to tell us about the reason(s) for your choice?			

New look for Building Code documents

NO COMMENT

Thank you

Thank you

Thanks for your feedback, we really appreciate your insight because it helps us keep pace with modern construction methods, the needs of New Zealanders and ensure buildings are safe, warm, dry, healthy and durable.

To help us continue to improve our Building Code update programme, we would appreciate any suggestions or comments you may have on what's working and how we can do better.

P	Please leave your feedback below:		