Making a submission

Pharmacy Action Plan: Consultation document

Submissions close on Friday 23 November 2015 at 5 pm.

The Ministry of Health must have your submission by this date and time. Any submissions received after this time will not be included in the analysis of submissions.

In making your submission, please include or cite relevant supporting evidence if you are able to do so.

There are two ways you can make a submission:

 Fill out this submission form and email it to: pharmacyactionplan@moh.govt.nz

OR

• Mail your comments to:

Pharmacy Action Plan Consultation Sector Capability and Implementation Ministry of Health PO Box 5013 WELLINGTON 6145

The following questions are intended to help you to focus your submission. It will help us analyse the feedback we receive on the plan if you can use this format. You are welcome to answer some or all questions.

Questions

Vision

Q1. Does the vision adequately address the strategic context for the future of pharmacy services as part of an integrated health and disability system in the next three to five years? If not, what is missing or what needs to be added?

Yes 🛛 No 🗌

Additional comments:

The vision is good and fits in well with the 'one-team' concept of the Health Strategy. Establishing systems to routinely update their primary care provider of (a defined set of) nonprescribed items obtained from pharmacies would ideally be part of routine information sharing between pharmacy and practice.

There is no discussion on how prescribing for long-term conditions could change to better meet patient needs. For example, once a diagnosis of asthma is made, the management of the use of the treatments, especially the preventive inhaler, could be made the responsibility of the pharmacist, rather than the prescriber.

From a public health perspective, the role of the pharmacist in identifying and reporting any disease trends is missing; as is their potential role to support control measures in outbreaks. For example, noting an increase in the number of preschoolers presenting with symptoms of gastrointestinal illness is useful surveillance for public health. In addition, a pharmacist can provide information around preventing further spread of illness such as, advice around hand washing and the need for exclusion from preschool while symptomatic and up to 48 hours after the last symptom.

Focus Area 1: Population and personal health

Q2. Do you agree that pharmacists should have a greater role in providing publichealth level interventions?

Yes 🛛 No 🗌

The growth of long-term conditions (LTCs) places an increasing burden on NZ health systems. Community pharmacies can help to address this burden by providing care and advice for people with LTCs. Expanding their role in doing so seems positive.

For example, in smoking cessation the direct delivery of nicotine therapies to clients along with suitable advice on usage and quitting could play a major part in the goal of having a smoke-free nation. If smokers are at a decision point on quitting there should be no delay in the pharmacist's ability to deliver the appropriate first line pharmacotherapy.

The delivery of influenza vaccines by pharmacists has increased access; there is potential for other vaccines to be given by pharmacists, once the link with the NIR is established. The later opening hours and week-end access of pharmacists would increase access to immunisation opportunities.

Pharmacists are often the primary health professional seen by people on low incomes. As such, they are in a position to deliver improvements to health literacy across a wide range of the New Zealand population. Pharmacists are able to deliver high quality health interventions and information that fall in line with the goals of population and public health. However, we need to be realistic about the kinds of interventions that they can offer for lifestyle change; and make sure that they are not used instead of developing the community workforce that is needed to support life changes to prevent and manage LTCs.

Q3. Do you think the population and personal health actions could encourage pharmacist-led population and personal health initiatives as part of integrated health services?

Yes 🛛 No 🗌

Additional comments:

Pharmacists may be in a better position for some population health targets. For example, the smoking target for pregnant women. By the time a pregnant woman reaches secondary care services, where the target currently sits, she is likely to be months ahead in the pregnancy and too late to gain any benefit for the foetus. Pharmacy, through sale of pregnancy tests, may be the first health professional a pregnant woman sees. In addition to quitting advice there is the opportunity to promote folate supplementation. Other opportunities to provide health advice could occur during consultations for other reasons such as sexual health.

It will be important for pharmacists to see themselves as having leading roles in some areas, and not just support to prescribers. The frequent contact that the population has with a pharmacy means there is good potential to provide health advice to support population health. This includes their potential to help consumers improve their health literacy.

Focus Area 2: Pharmacist clinical services

Q4. Do you agree with the focus in this section on optimising pharmacists' medicines management expertise to be used across the health and social sectors in a broader range of settings as part of an interdisciplinary team?

Yes 🛛 No 🗌

	be important to	monitor actual impacts of	e shared in the document. As pharmacist inputs on treatme on adverse events from polypl	nt outcomes, such as	
	PHO, Aged Ca	0	ding and contractual ag tegration across primar rvices)?		
	Essential 🗌	Very important 🗌	Little importance 🗌	Not important 🗌	
	Additional com	ments:			
	monitor outcom	nes of the pharmacist input sophisticated IT platform t	It is not sufficient by itself - w s- as much as the other memb hat will be required to make th	ers of the 'one-team'.	
).	How importa	nt is it that pharmaci	sts are part of interdisc	iplinary teams?	
	Essential	Very important 🔀	Little importance	Not important 🗌	
	Additional comments:				
	For the manage	ment of LTCs (especially w	hen the consumer has more th	an one) and other	
	0	require polypharmacy, it is	clearly helpful to include the		

Focus Area 3: Acute demand management

Q7. Do you agree with the focus in this section on pharmacists having a greater role in contributing to the treatment of minor ailments, acute demand triage and appropriate referral?

Yes 🛛 No 🗌

This is an existing role; making it more formal and standardised seems sensible.

Pharmacists could be given the right to prescribe to treat conditions such as fungal infections, head lice, scabies and minor skin infections, facilitating access to the subsidy for free medication to under 13-year-olds and high users. Currently although pharmacists can provide these medications, they come at a cost that will be prohibitive to many families.

Sore throat swabbing and treatment could also be made available in this setting. There is potential for pharmacists to work with primary care nurses to provide the follow up when necessary.

Q8. Do you agree with the focus in this section on developing a minor ailment service?

Yes 🛛 No 🗌

Additional comments:

To encourage access and reduce barriers, it is important that funding of medications, usually subsidised when prescribed by a doctor, continue to be subsidised if dispensed by a pharmacist.

Reducing costs to medicines will be paramount to access and equity, which is outlined as an overarching principle in this document.

Focus Area 4: Dispensing and supply services

Q9. Do you agree with the focus in this section on driving efficiencies in the medicines supply chain through the broader use of technologies, for example, robotic dispensing and more flexible regulation?

Yes 🛛 No 🗌

Additional comments:

This touches on, but is not clear, about more fundamental changes to the process of how prescribed medicines get to the patient.

Q10. How important is the role of the pharmacy accuracy checking technician (PACT) in driving dispensing efficiencies?

Essential Very important

Little importance 🗌

Not important

No comment.			

Focus Area 5: Prescribing pharmacists

Q11. Do you agree there should be greater integration of prescribing pharmacists into a wide range of primary and secondary health care teams, including residential care facilities?

Yes 🛛 No 🗌

Additional comments:

Access to patient notes and being part of a multidisciplinary team would support positive lines of communication and positive outcomes for the community. This would be a better wrap around approach for vulnerable members of the community.

Including a pharmacist as a key member of the 'one-team' for health delivery makes good sense.

Enabler 1: Leadership

Q12.	How important is leadership as an enabler to the actions in this plan?					
	Essential	Very important 🗌	Little importance 🗌	Not important 🗌		
	Additional comments:					
	.		f any plan. It would be useful y the term "leadership" in tha			

Enabler 2: Information and other technologies

Q13. How important is information technology (IT) in terms of the potential to transform pharmacy practices?

Essential 🔀	Very important	Little importance	Not important
Additional com	nments:		

See below.		

Q14. How important is it for pharmacists to be able to have full readable/ writeable access to patient health records?

Essential 🛛 Very important 🗌	Little importance 🗌	Not important 🗌	
------------------------------	---------------------	-----------------	--

Additional comments:

IT is an essential part to achieving a fully integrated health and disability system therefore contributing to the vision of the Pharmacy Action Plan. A well resourced and smart IT strategy will be required to make the 'one-team' a functional reality.

Enabler 3: Workforce

Q15. How important is it to have pharmacists less involved in the technical aspects of medicines supply and better utilised to provide patient-centred care across a range of practice settings?

Essential Very important Little importance Not important

Additional comments:

RPH is unable to comment on the technical aspects of medicines supply. However, RPH would like to comment on opportunities in this section that will give meaning to the overall principle of contributing to improved access and equity for Maori, Pacific and other priority populations. (Draft Pharmacy Action Plan, p.4)

RPH strongly supports the actions listed for the Schools of Pharmacy which aim to ensure that graduates have appropriate cultural competence and to increase the numbers of Maori and Pacific students and other ethnicities to reflect the population.

A further action for inclusion could be:

• Provide for the needs of Maori as set out in Section 4 of the NZPHD Act to ensure there are mechanisms to enable Maori to contribute to decision-making on and participate in the delivery of pharmacy services, as well as responding to the government's desire to achieve equity and improve health outcomes for Māori.

Enabler 4: Regulation

Q16. How important will a more permissive prescribing and dispensing framework be for changing the future direction of pharmacy services?

Essential \Box Very important \boxtimes

Little importance 🗌

Not important

Additional comments:

The proposed increased use of pharmacist as a primary health care worker largely makes sense if they can prescribe (e.g. nicotine replacement) as well as give the advice - otherwise, a bottleneck occurs via the need to refer to a GP or specialist.

Q17. How important will potential changes in ownership and/or licensing arrangement be for changing the future direction of pharmacy services?

Essential 🗌 Very important 🗌 Little	importance 🗌 👘 Not important 🗌
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------

Additional comments:

Hard to comment - many models can work, but only a few do. It will be the practice and not the policy that is key, and that is hard to predict.

Priority of actions

Q18. If you had to prioritise the actions in this plan what would be your top three actions for implementing in the next five years?

Priority actions:

Q19. Are there any actions in this plan that you particularly agree with or disagree with, and if so why?

Agree	Disagree
-------	----------

Reasons			

Q20. Are there any actions that you think have been omitted that should be included, and if so what are they and why should they be included?

Additional actions that should be included?	Reasons
The plan highlights Access and Equity as an overarching principle for the Draft Action Plan, however it is not explicit throughout the plan how this will be translated into meaningful actions. The risk of not including specific actions in the document is that the overarching principle is forgotten and the focus is lost for implementation of the plan.	

Submission form

You do not have to answer all the questions or provide personal information if you do not want to.

This submission was completed by: (name)	Dr Osman Mansoor
Address: (street/box number)	Private Bag 31907
(town/city)	Lower Hutt 5040
Email:	rph@huttvalleydhb.org.nz
Organisation (if applicable):	Regional Public Health
Position (if applicable):	Public Health Physician

Are you submitting this as (tick one box only in this section):



an individual or individuals (not on behalf of an organisation)

on behalf of a group or organisation(s)

If you are an individual or individuals, the Ministry of Health will remove your personal details from your submission, and your name(s) will not be listed in the published summary of submissions, if you check the following box:

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released.

Please indicate which sector(s) your submission represents (you may tick more than one box in this section):

Māori		Regulatory authority
Pacific		Consumer
Asian		District health board
Education/training		Local government
Service provider		Government
Non-government organisation		Pharmacy professional association
Primary health organisation		Other professional association
Professional association		
Academic/research	\square	Other (please specify): Public health unit